

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/815,983		BOWERS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	PAUL S. HYUN		1797	

All Participants:

(1) PAUL S. HYUN

(2) Josué Villalta

Date of Interview: March 12, 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3 PM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was conducted by the Examiner to expedite the prosecution of the application. Specifically, amendment to claim 1 was proposed by the Examiner to specify the "relative movement" actuated by the claimed actuator to a movement that is commensurate with the disclosure of the Specification.